

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT





This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and the intellectual property of the Customer and information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the Customer, or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed - upon a decision of the Customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for YFD (15 pages)	
Approved by	Andrew Matiukhin CTO Hacken OU	
Туре	Vaults	
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity	
Methods	Architecture Review, Functional Testing, Computer-Aided Verification,	
	Manual Review	
Github	https://github.com/YfDFI-Finance/YFD-Farming-Vault	
Commit	A3218A3E62570B5CFE4EC227CE917E54BCAC9B55	
Timeline	11 th JAN 2021 – 14 th JAN 2021	
Changelog	14 [™] JAN 2021 - Initial Audit	



Table of contents

3
5
4
4
5
7
8
.9
20



Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by YFD (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of the Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between January 11th, 2021 – January 14th, 2021.

Scope

The scope of the project is github repository: Github HTTPS://GITHUB.COM/YFDFI-FINANCE/YFD-FARMING-VAULT CommitA3218A3E62570B5CFE4EC227CE917E54BCAC9B55

Files in scope of review	
./ Vaultlocking1month.sol	
./ Vaultlocking2months.sol	
./ Vaultlocking3months.sol	
./ Vaultlocking72h.sol	

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered:

Category	Check Item	
Code review	Reentrancy	
	 Ownership Takeover 	
	Timestamp Dependence	
	 Gas Limit and Loops 	
	DoS with (Unexpected) Throw	
	DoS with Block Gas Limit	
	Transaction-Ordering Dependence	
	Style guide violation	
	Costly Loop	
	ERC20 API violation	
	Unchecked external call	
	Unchecked math	
	Unsafe type inference	
	Implicit visibility level	
	Deployment Consistency	
	Repository Consistency	
	Data Consistency	

Π	L		4
Ë		-1	T
н		H E	I N

Functional review	 Business Logics Review
	Functionality Checks
	Access Control & Authorization
	Escrow manipulation
	 Token Supply manipulation
	Assets integrity
	 User Balances manipulation
	 Data Consistency manipulation
	 Kill-Switch Mechanism
	Operation Trails & Event Generation

Executive Summary

According to the assessment, the Customer's smart contracts don't have critical vulnerabilities and can be considered secure.

We described issues in the conclusion of these documents. Please read the whole document to estimate the risks well.



automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed, and important vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. A general overview is presented in AS-IS section, and all found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

Security engineers found **1** lowest severity issues during the audit.



Graph 1. The distribution of vulnerabilities.





Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are essential to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations.
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations, and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.



AS-IS overview

Description

VaultProRewardMonth, VaultProReward, VaultProReward3Months and *VaultProReward2Months* are the same contract with different values of constants. So, it can be audited as one contract named *VaultProReward****.

Imports

*VaultProReward**** contract has 4 imports:

- SafeMath from OpenZeppelin
- EnumerableSet from OpenZeppelin
- Ownable from OpenZeppelin
- Token is an ERC20 token interface

Inheritance

*VaultProReward**** contract inherits *Ownable*.

Usings

*VaultProReward**** contract use:

- *SafeMath* for *uint*;
- EnumerableSet for EnumerableSet.AddressSet;

Fields

VaultProReward*** contract has 21 fields:

- address public trustedDepositTokenAddress deposit token address;
- *address public trustedRewardTokenAddress* reward token address;
- *uint public constant withdrawFeePercentX100* withdrawal fee;
- *uint public constant disburseAmount* disbursement amount;
- *uint public constant disburseDuration* disbursement duration;
- uint public constant cliffTime the period of time when unstaking is not available;
- *uint public constant disbursePercentX100* disbursement percent rate;
- uint public contractDeployTime a timestamp when the contract was deployed;



- *uint public lastDisburseTime* a timestamp of the last disbursement;
- uint public totalClaimedRewards the total number of claimed rewards;
- EnumerableSet.AddressSet private holders a set of the address of holders;
- mapping (address => uint) public depositedTokens deposited tokens amount by address;
- mapping (address => uint) public depositTime a timestamp of the last deposit by address;
- mapping (address => uint) public lastClaimedTime a timestamp of the last rewards claimed by address;
- mapping (address => uint) public totalEarnedTokens the total number of earned tokens by address;
- mapping (address => uint) public lastDivPoints snapshot of the total div points;
- uint public totalTokensDisbursed the total number of disbursed tokens;
- *uint public contractBalance* the number of tokens on the balance of the contract;
- *uint public totalDivPoints* the total div points;
- *uint public totalTokens* the total number of deposited tokens;
- *uint internal pointMultiplier* the point multiplier;

Functions

*VaultProReward**** contract has 13 functions:

constructor

Description

Initializes the contract. Sets *contractDeployTime* and *lastDisburseTime*.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

None

Constraints



None

Events emit

None

Output

None

addContractBalance

Description

Used by admin to add tokens to the contract balance.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

None

Constraints

- Only owner can call it.
- Tokens should be transferred.

Events emit

None

Output

None

• updateAccount

Description

Used to pay rewards to account.

Visibility



private

Input parameters

address account — an address of the account;

Constraints

• Tokens should be transferred.

Events emit

RewardsTransferred(account, pendingDivs);

Output

None

• getPendingDivs

Description

Used to calculate the holder reward.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

address _holder — an address of the holder;

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns reward amount.



getNumberOfHolders

Description

Used to get the number of holders.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns the number of holders.

deposit

Description

Used to deposit tokens.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

uint amountToStake — an amount of tokens to deposit;

Constraints

- The deposit amount should be greater than 0.
- Tokens should be transferred.



Events emit

None

Output

None

withdraw

Description

Used to withdraw.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

• *uint amountToWithdraw* — an amount of tokens to withdraw;

Constraints

- The withdraw amount should be less than or equal to deposited amount.
- The *cliffTime* period must be passed.
- Fee should be transferred.
- Tokens should be transferred.

Events emit

None

Output

None

emergencyWithdraw

Description

Used to withdraw without rewards.



Visibility

public

Input parameters

• *uint amountToWithdraw* — an amount of tokens to withdraw;

Constraints

- The withdraw amount should be less than or equal to deposited amount.
- The *cliffTime* period must be passed.
- Fee should be transferred.
- Tokens should be transferred.

Events emit

None

Output

None

• claim

Description

Used to claim rewards.

Visibility

public

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit



None

Output

None

• distributeDivs

Description

Increases total div points.

Visibility

private

Input parameters

• *uint amount* — an amount of tokens;

Constraints

None

Events emit

emit RewardsDisbursed(amount);

Output

None

disburseTokens

Description

Used to disburse tokens.

Visibility

public

Input parameters



None

Constraints

• Only owner can call it.

Events emit

None

Output

None

• getPendingDisbursement

Description

Calculates disbursement amount.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

None

Constraints

None

Events emit

None

Output

Returns disbursement amount.

• getDepositorsList

Description

This document is proprietary and confidential. No part of this document may be disclosed in any manner to a third party without the prior written consent of Hacken.

www.hacken.io



Used to get depositors list.

Visibility

public view

Input parameters

- *uint startIndex* index from;
- *uint endIndex* index to;

Constraints

• *startIndex* should be less than *endIndex*.

Events emit

None

Output

Returns depositors info.





Audit overview

Critical

No critical issues were found.

📕 📕 📕 High

No high issues were found.

Medium

No medium issues were found.

Low

No low severity issues were found.

Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

1. According to the best practices constants should be named as UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES.



Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract, high-level description of functionality was presented in As-is overview section of the report.

The audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security engineers found **1** lowest severity issues during the audit.

Violations in the following categories were found and addressed to the Customer:

Category	Check Item	Comments
Code review	 Style guide violation 	 According to the best practices constants should be named as UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES.



Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment, and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.